Overview: Part I: The discussions roughly follow the self-contained paper, *Prisms and prismatic cohomology*, [10], main accompanying reference is [4].

Part II: we discuss some applications (depending on interest)

- Crystalline Galois representations. [9]
- algebraic K-theory and the motivic filtration, [7].
- Prismatizations, [13], [3]. A very nice companying reference is [5].

The seminar program here serves as a brief guide.

PART I:

0.1. Motivations. Survey of motivations. [10, 1], see also [5, 1].

(1) Question: How could one understand integral/ \mathbb{F}_p coefficient cohomology? ¹ The main comparison theorems, [10, Thm. 1.8]. One implication: [6, 1.1, (ii)], [4, 1], for $X \in \operatorname{Sch}_{\mathcal{O}_C}^{\operatorname{sm,proj}}$, " \mathbb{F}_p cohomology of X_C as an obstruction to integration of forms on X_k ."

 $\dim_{\mathbb{F}_p} H^i(X_C, \mathbb{F}_p) \le \dim_k H^i_{dR}(X_k)$

This inequality can be strict.

(2) Motivic filtrations, and their applications to K-theory. Let k be any field, , for $X \in \operatorname{Sch}_{k}^{\operatorname{sm,proj}}$, there is the *motivic filtration*

$$\operatorname{Fil}^{\geq *} K(X)$$
 where $\operatorname{gr}^{i} K(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}(i)^{\operatorname{mot}}(X)[2i] \quad i \geq 0$

The analogous statement for singular affine schemes is open. [7] proves a statement for its close cousin 2 TC. Remark on syntomic cohomology.

(3) Absolute prismatic cohomology, [3].

0.2. δ -rings. Define δ -rings, [10, 2]. State universal property and the adjunction

$$\delta \operatorname{CAlg} \xleftarrow{W} \operatorname{CAlg}$$

Date: January 25th.

¹Over \mathbb{C} one uses Hodge theory.

²Thanks to advances in trace methods, see [12]

The right adjoint is Witt vectors functor. Describe the δ structure on $\mathbb{Z} \{S\}$ denote the free δ ring with generating set S. Sketch equivalence³

$$\delta\text{-CAlg}^{p\text{-tf}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \{(A, \phi) : A \in \text{CAlg}, \phi \text{ is lift of Frob}\}$$
$$(A, \delta) \mapsto (A, \phi_{\delta}), \phi_{\delta} : x \mapsto x^{p} + p\delta(x)$$

Remark why these are called derivations, [11]. Give examples, [10, 2.6, 2.11]. A non-example, see [10, 2.35]. State the equivalence [10, 2.31].

$$\delta\text{-CAlg}^{p\text{-cpl,perf}} \underset{W(R) \leftrightarrow R}{\overset{A \mapsto A/p}{\longleftarrow}} \text{CAlg}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\heartsuit, \text{perf}}$$

The proof boils down to [10, 2.28], but give alternative proof as [10, 2.30]. State equivalence [4, 2.2], [10, 3.10]

$$(\star) \qquad \qquad \text{Prisms}^{\text{perf}} \xrightarrow{\simeq} \text{Pftd}$$

This will be discussed in 0.3. Omit sections, [10, 2.5, 2.6], this will be discussed in 0.4.

0.3. **Prisms.** We have established a char. 0 theory of perfect char. p rings. This can already be done with Witt vectors - but we extend this to perfectoid rings. Introduce prisms, [10, 3], recall distinguished elements, [10, 2.19]. Discuss its geometric interpretation. Discuss how [10, 2.20] forms prisms. Focus on crystalline and A_{inf} case. Sketch proof of (\star).

In [4, IV], Bhatt takes a definition of a ring to be perfected if it is of the form A/I for a perfect prism (A, I). Briefly comment on its relation to the intrinsic versions, [6, 3].

0.4. **Prismatic site.** Define the absolute and relative prismatic site for a general ring R, yielding R_{Δ} and $(R/A)_{\Delta}$, where (A, I) is a fixed base prism, see also [3, 4]. Define $\Delta_{R/A}, \overline{\Delta}_{R/A}$. Prismatic site as slice topos, [10, 4.3]. Discuss lemma [10, 2.18].

To gain intuition, we will do some computations and compare the construction in [10, 4] with crystalline cohomology, which plays the same game (with Zariski topology). In particular, state [4, VI, 3.2]

(Crys)
$$\left(\phi_A^* \Delta_{R/A}\right) \simeq \Gamma_{\text{Crys}}(R/A)$$

which is in turn used to prove the Hodge-Tate comparison.

³In this setting, δ -rings are also referred as *derivations*.

Crystalline cohomology. A summary can be found in [4, VI, 1], [14]. DP⁴envelope, [8, 3]. Give examples: [16, Ex. 2.2, 2.3], [4, VI, 1.3]. Give DP envelope of $\mathbb{Z}_p \{x\}$. Crystalline site, [16, 3]. State Crystalline-de Rham comparison, [1]: For $P \to R$ surjection with kernel J,

(cdR)
$$\Omega^{\bullet}_{P/A}\widehat{\otimes}_P D_J(P) \simeq R\Gamma_{Crys}(R/A)$$

State the characteristic 0 version: Grothendieck's infinitesimal cohomology. Mention how one obtains F-crystal.

0.5. Hodge-Tate comparison, I. State the Hodge Tate comparison, [5, V,3.8]: If (A, (d)) is a bounded prisms, $R \in \operatorname{CAlg}_{A/(d)}^{\operatorname{fm.sm}}$

(HT)
$$\eta_R^* : \left(\Omega_{R/(A/d)}^{\bullet}, d_{\mathrm{dR}}\right) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^*(\bar{\Delta}_{R/A}, \beta_d)$$

link back to 0.1. Our first goal is to construct this map.

The universal property of de Rham complex. See also [2, 3]. State the universal property for the completed de Rham complex, [5, 3.4]. This is the version we use. Introduce Brueil-Kisin twist [10, 3.7] and define Bockstein homomorphism as those induced from the short exact sequence

$$0 \to I^{n+1}\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}/I^{n+2} \to I^n\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}/I^{n+2} \to I^n\mathcal{O}_{\Delta}/I^{n+1} \to 0$$

of \mathcal{O}_{Δ} -modules in $(R/A)_{\Delta}$.

If time permits: Discuss how one can compute crystalline cohomology groups using Čech-Alexander complex and the indiscrete topology, see [4, V], [4, VI, 1.4], [15, 07JK]. That is

$$\Gamma(X_{\text{indis}}, \mathcal{F}|_{\text{indisc}}) \simeq \Gamma((X/S)_{\text{Cris}}, \mathcal{F})$$

Set the stage for discussion for the Crystalline case, $(A, (d)) = (\mathbb{Z}_p, (p))$.

0.6. Hodge-Tate comparison, II. Our goal is to discuss the proof of (HT) for crystalline prism, $(\mathbb{Z}_p, (p))$, and R is a polynomial algebra over \mathbb{F}_p . This case is sufficient, to generalize, one uses Quillen's formalism of non-abelian derived functors, [4, VII]. Split the argument into two parts. Describe how one deduces from characteristic p case :

- Cartier isomorphism, (Cart)
- the Crystalline comparison theorem, (Crys).

⁴Also referred as PD.

Recall the Cartier isomorphism [2, 3.34] and its variation [4, 1.9] (Cart)

 $\operatorname{Cart}^{\bullet}: (\Omega^{\bullet}_{R^{(1)}/(A/p)}, d_{\mathrm{dR}}) \xrightarrow{\simeq} H^*(\Omega^{\bullet}_{R/(A/p)}), \beta_p) \simeq H^*(R\Gamma_{\mathrm{crys}}(R/A) \otimes_A R/p)$

where the last equivalence is crystalline de Rham comparison, (cdR). Prove the crystalline comparison. [4, 3.2].

References

- [1] B Bhatt and A J. De Jong, Crystalline cohomology and de Rham cohomology.
- [2] Bhargav and Lurie Bhatt Jacob and Mathew, *Revisiting the de Rham-Witt complex* (2018).
- [3] Bhargav Bhatt and J Lurie, absolute prismatic cohomology (2022).
- [4] B Bhatt, Eilenberg Lectures at Columbia University, Pristmatic Cohomology (2018).
- [5] Bhargav Bhatt, Prismatic F-gauges, updating (2022), available at https://www. math.ias.edu/~bhatt/teaching/mat549f22/lectures.pdf.
- [6] B Bhatt, M Morrow, and P Scholze, Integral p-adic Hodge theory (2019).
- [7] _____, Topological Hochschild homology Integral p-adic Hodge theory (2019).
- [8] Berthlot and Ogus, Notes on Crystalline Cohomology (1978).
- [9] B Bhatt and P Scholze, Prismatic F-crystals and crystalline Galois representations (2021).
- [10] _____, Prisms and prismatic cohomology (2022).
- [11] Alexandru Buium, Arithmetic Analogoues of Derivations (1997).
- [12] Dustin Clausen and Akhil Mathew, Hyperdescent and étale K-theory, Inventiones mathematicae 225 (2021), no. 3, 981–1076.
- [13] Drinfeld, *Prismatization*.
- [14] Antoine Chambert-Loir, Cohomologie, cristallinen: un survol.
- [15] SP, Stacks Project.
- [16] Guo Haoyang, A mini-course on crystalline cohomology (2018).